IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Case No. : C.W.P.No.10200 of 2006
Date of Decision : April 18, 2007.

Kuldeep Kaur and another .... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others .... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE L.N.MITTAL.

* * *

Present : Ms.Rumpa Ghorai, Advocate
for Ms.Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.B.S.Chahal, AAG, Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 3.

* * *

J.S.KHEHAR, J. (Oral) :

Learned counsel for the respondents informs us that petitioner no.1 was awarded 121.02 marks whereas the last candidate, appointed from the same category, to the post of Maths Mistress, had obtained 146.83 marks.

In view of the above, it is apparent that the claim raised by petitioner no.1, through the instant writ petition, is mis-conceived.

In so far as the petitioner no.2 is concerned, on the last date of hearing, i.e. 21.03.2007, we were informed by the learned counsel for the respondents that they could not evaluate the merit of petitioner no.2, on account of the fact that the petitioner no.2 had not submitted the detailed marks card, of her B.Ed result. Accordingly, we had directed petitioner no.2

to furnish the detailed marks card of the B.Ed examination to the Secretary, Subordinate Services Selection Board, Punjab within one week.

Learned counsel for the respondents points out that the aforesaid direction of this Court, issued on 21.03.2007, has not been complied with till date.

In view of the above, we are satisfied that petitioner no.2 is no longer interested to pursue the instant writ petition.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition deserves to be dismissed.

Ordered accordingly.

(J.S.KHEHAR)
JUDGE

April 18, 2007
monika

(L.N.MITTAL)
JUDGE